

## UNITED STATES DETERTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademary Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/116.589

APPLICATION NO.

9 07/16/98

**FILING DATE** 

NISHIKAWA

S

MM12/0712

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202

EXA	MINER
CHa	ANG.A
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	70 6

DATE MAILED:

07/12/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/116589	NI:	AWANHIE	ut	al
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Examiner			
	<u> </u> Chan	<b>پ</b>	Group Art Unit ユタアこ		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence a	ddress-	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAI	LING D	ATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, efficiency within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	y within the statutory minim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be consider	ed timely. on .	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
₹ Claim(s) 1-6		is/are p	ending in the app	lication.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.		
Claim(s) ( - 6 (	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.			ion	
Application Denous		·			
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved (	□ disapproved	I.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objecte</li> </ul>	is 🗆 approved (	□ disapproved	l.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is 🗆 approved (	□ disapproved	I.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is 🗆 approved (	□ disapproved	I.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is □ approved (d to by the Examiner.		I.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved do to by the Examiner.	( <b>d)</b> .	I.		
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved to by the Examiner.  er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(e priority documents ha	(d). Ive been			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved to by the Examiner.  er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(e priority documents ha	(d). Ive been			
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved to by the Examiner.  er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(e priority documents had)  national Bureau (PCT R	(d). ive been dule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved to by the Examiner.  er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(e priority documents had)  national Bureau (PCT R	(d). ive been dule 1 7.2(a)).			
<ul> <li>See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved to by the Examiner.  er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(e priority documents had)  national Bureau (PCT R	(d). Ive been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received:  **Attachment(s)	is approved to by the Examiner.  er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(e priority documents had)  national Bureau (PCT R	(d). ave been dule 1 7.2(a)). aterview Summ	·	tion, PT(	O-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/116589

Art Unit: 2872

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to holographic diffuser, classified in class 359, subclass 20.
  - II. Claims 10-20, drawn to reflection type color display, classified in class 359, subclass 15.
  - III. Claims 21-27, drawn to hologram recorded medium, classified in class 359, subclass 1.
  - IV. Claims 28-47, drawn to method for fabricating a hologram, classified in class 430, subclass 2.
  - V. Claims 48-61, drawn to composite multicolor hologram, classified in class 259, subclass 22.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and III, inventions I and IV and inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions which drawn to a holographic diffuser and a reflection type display, a hologram-recorded medium, method for fabricating a hologram-recorded medium, or a multicolor hologram have different functions, operations and effects.
- 3. Inventions II and III, inventions II and IV and inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions which drawn to a reflection type display (of invention II) and the inventions

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/116589

Art Unit: 2872

drawn to hologram recorded medium, the method for making the same or the composite multicolor hologram (of inventions III, IV and V) have different functions, operations and/or effects.

- 4. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the hologram-recorded medium may be fabricated by different processes such as using a mask, using a hologram copying method, or using a modulated reference beams or object beams, etc.
- 5. Inventions III and V and inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions which drawn to a hologram-recorded medium or a method for fabricating a hologram-recorded medium and a composite multicolor hologram, have different functions, operations and effects.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any of the Groups is not required for any other Groups restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election of Species

8. This application contains following patentably distinct species,

Application/Control Number: 09/116589

..........

Art Unit: 2872

In Group IV defined above directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: using (A) a hologram, (B) a mask, (C) a reflector or (D) swelling films for fabricating a hologram.

In Group V, defined above directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) including a halftone color pattern, (B) a color tuning film.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/116589

Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

9. A telephone call was not made to the attorney of the record due to the complexity of the

restriction/election requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the

invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(I).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Examiner Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-6208.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 through facsimile transmission.

Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via PTO Fax Center (fax number 703-308-7722) located in Crystal

Plaza 4.

A. Chang

July 9, 1999

コとて